

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO        | F        | ILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------------|----------|------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/807,169 03/24/20   |          | 03/24/2004 | Atsushi Sugiyama     | SUGI3001D           | 4115             |
| 23364                 | 7590     | 08/15/2006 |                      | EXAM                | INER             |
| BACON &               | & THOMA  | AS, PLLC   | SAUCIER, SANDRA E    |                     |                  |
| 625 SLATI             | ERS LANE |            |                      |                     | 7 - 250 MIN (DED |
| FOURTH FLOOR          |          |            |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
| ALEXANDRIA, VA. 22314 |          |            |                      | 1651                | ·                |

DATE MAILED: 08/15/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  | Application No.  | Applicant(s)  |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
|  | 10/807,169   | SUGIYAMA, ATSUSHI   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary  | Examiner   | Art Unit  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Sandra Saucier   | 1651  |  |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply  | appears on the cover sheet wi  | ith the correspondence address  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | DATE OF THIS COMMUNION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a restor will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON atute, cause the application to become AB | CATION.  eply be timely filed  ITHS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |  |  |
| Status   |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on   |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | his action is non-final.   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allow  |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| closed in accordance with the practice unde  | er <i>Ex par</i> te <i>Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D   | . 11, 453 O.G. 213.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims  |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) 10-23 is/are pending in the applica   | ation.   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without   | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  | Claim(s) is/are allowed.   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.   | Claim(s) is/are rejected.  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  | Claim(s) is/are objected to.   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>10-23</u> are subject to restriction and   | d/or election requirement.   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers   |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam   | iner.  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.   |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).   |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the  | Examiner. Note the attached  | d Office Action or form PTO-152.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119   |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of:  |  | 119(a)-(d) or (f).  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage</li> </ul>  |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.   |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  |  | Summary (PTO-413)<br>s)/Mail Date   |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>  |  | nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)  |  |  |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 10/807,169 Page 2

Art Unit: 1651

## **Election/Restrictions**

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 10-20, 23, drawn to a method of determining cAMP or adenylate cyclase activity in a biological sample, classified in class 435, subclass 6.
- II. Claim 21, drawn to a first kit comprising
  - (1) a vial containing apyrase, alkaline phosphatase and adenosine deaminase,
  - (2) a vial containing phosphodiesterase,
  - (3) a vial containing glycogen, phosphoric acid, glycogen phosphorylase, phosphoglucomutase, G-6-P dehydrogenase and NADP+, classified in class 435, subclasses 15, 16, 21 and others.
- III. Claim 22, drawn to a second kit comprising
  - (1) a vial containing apyrase, alkaline phosphatase and adenosine deaminase,
  - (2) a vial containing phosphodiesterase, ATP, myokinase phosphoenolpyruvic acid and pyruvate kinase,
  - (3) a vial containing fructose, hexokinase, phosphoglucose isomerase, G-6-P- dehydrogenase and NADP+, classified in class435, subclasses 15, 16, 21 and others.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions II, III and I are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case (1) the process of determining cAMP content or adenylate

Art Unit: 1651

cyclase activity can be performed by using either the kit of Group II or the kit of Group III.

The several inventions listed above are independent and distinct from one another as they have acquired a separate status in the art and require independent searches, particularly with regard to the literature searches. Clearly, a reference which would anticipate one of the above groups would not necessarily anticipate or even make obvious any of the others.

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either

Application/Control Number: 10/807,169 Page 4

Art Unit: 1651

to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder.

Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sandra Saucier whose telephone number is (571) 272-0922. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday, Tuesday, Wednesday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, M. Wityshyn can be reached on (571) 272-0926. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/807,169

Art Unit: 1651

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866–217–9197 (toll-free).

Sandra Saucier Primary Examiner Art Unit 1651 Page 5

August 11, 2006